

Hardesty, Doug

From: Hardesty, Doug
Sent: Monday, April 14, 2014 3:45 PM
To: 'Baumgartner, James R (DEC)'
Subject: RE: EPA Region 10 Objection Letter to Permit #AQ0267TVP02

No problem. Thanks for asking!

Doug Hardesty
208.378.5759
Air Permits and Diesel Unit
Office of Air, Waste and Toxics
U.S. EPA, Region 10
950 W Bannock, Suite 900
Boise, Idaho 83702
hardesty.doug@epa.gov

From: Baumgartner, James R (DEC) [<mailto:jim.baumgartner@alaska.gov>]
Sent: Monday, April 14, 2014 3:19 PM
To: Hardesty, Doug
Cc: Baumgartner, James R (DEC)
Subject: RE: EPA Region 10 Objection Letter to Permit #AQ0267TVP02

Doug,

Thanks. It appeared to be our omission in the EPA checklist. We'd only referenced the turbine BACT condition and table.

Jim Baumgartner

From: Hardesty, Doug [<mailto:Hardesty.Doug@epa.gov>]
Sent: Monday, April 14, 2014 11:59 AM
To: Baumgartner, James R (DEC); Kuterbach, John F (DEC)
Cc: Dossett, Donald; Meyer, Dan
Subject: FW: EPA Region 10 Objection Letter to Permit #AQ0267TVP02

Jim,

I am responding to your email to Dan this morning regarding clarification on the ConocoPhillips objection letter.

We should have clarified that all of the limits in the permit that originated in the EPA-issued PSD permit need to be returned to their original wording and meaning. The objection letter focused a bit too much on the specifics that were being debated in some of the communications.

If you have any questions, please feel free to call or email me.

Doug Hardesty
208.378.5759
Air Permits and Diesel Unit
Office of Air, Waste and Toxics
U.S. EPA, Region 10
950 W Bannock, Suite 900

Boise, Idaho 83702
hardesty.doug@epa.gov